

Proposal Title :	Reclassification of land	at 34 Coreen Avenue, Pe	akhurst from 'community' to 'operational'
Proposal Summar	The planning proposal seeks to amend Schedule 4, Part 1 of the Hurstville Local Environmental Plan 2012 (HLEP2012) by reclassifying land at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst (part Lot 18 in Deposited Plan 31882) from 'community' to 'operational' land.		
			The site does not have interests on the lan sification. The proposal does not change tl
PP Number :	PP_2016_GRIVE_001_00	Dop File No :	16/08386
oposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received	08-Jul-2016 i∶	LGA covered :	Georges River
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Georges River Council
State Electorate :	OATLEY	Section of the	Act : 55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Reclassification		
ocation Details			
Street :	34 Coreen Avenue		
Suburb :	Peakhurst (City : Sydney	Postcode : 2210
Land Parcel :	Lot 18 DP31		
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Max Chipchase		
Contact Number :	0292286307		
Contact Email :	max.chipchase@planning	j.nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact De	etails		
Contact Name :	Carina Gregory		
Contact Number :	0293306400		
Contact Email :	cgregory@georgesriver.r	isw.gov.au	
DoP Project Ma	nager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Martin Cooper		
Contact Number :	0292286582		
Contact Email :	martin.cooper@planning.	nsw.dov.au	

Reclassification of land at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst from 'community' to 'operational'

	d at 54 coreen Avenue, Feak		
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and Environment is not aware of any meetings or communications with registered lobbyists concerning this proposal.		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	On 14 June 2016, Georges River Council submitted a planning proposal to the Department, resolved by the former Hurstville Council, while currently seeking to reclassify land at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst.		
	34 Coreen Avenue is split into two parts via the Road (Coreen Ave). The northern part of the land is the subject site of this planning proposal while currently part of the reserve, it has no primary use or operation due to the size, orientation and location immediate adjacent to the walkway. The site is 45.4 square metres in size.		
	The proposal seeks to amend Schedule 4, Part 1 of the Hurstville Local Environmental Plan 2012 to reclassify land at the site from 'community' land to 'operational' land. No further amendments to the HLEP2012 have been proposed. The objective of this proposal is to enable the surplus site to be sold by Council following future subdivision, and for the funds to be used for other community assets.		
	The land was originally acquired by Hurstville Council prior to 1960 as part of the overall subdivision of land, and at that time, was provided for the purpose of a reserve. In October 1960 the Council reserve was bisected by a road (Coreen Avenue) and formed part of the further subdivision of surrounding land. The resultant subdivision of 34 Coreen Avenue caused the single allotment to be separated into two (2) parts as they exist today.		
	Council granted permission in 19 Peakhurst) to fence the north-we		rs (31 Iraga Avenue,
	The planning proposal was prepa land (currently under separate DA (DA2015/0285) relates to the subo and was submitted on 10 August Council have suggested two optic	A Assessment). The Developn livision of one lot (spanning (2015. The DA has yet to be do	nent Application Coreen Avenue) into two lots etermined. As a result,

	reclassified or new lot reclassified to operational from community.		
	No change to the existing R2 Low Density Residential zoning or development standards		
	under this zoning have been requested.		
	Removing the lands public reserve status will require the Governor's approval.		
	The proposal is supported as: •it is consistent with the NSW strategic planning framework and Council's strategic plan; •it assists Council with operational objectives to achieve total asset management through better management of Council-owned land; and •the site is limited (45.4sqm) in size and is impracticable for open space use.		
External Supporting Notes :	Georges River Council, on behalf of the former Hurstville Council, submitted a planning proposal to the Department on the 14 June 2016, which seeks to reclassify part of the land at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst, from 'community' to 'operational' land.		
	The subject site is 45.4 square metres.		
	The land was originally acquired by Council for the purpose of a reserve prior to the 1960's. In October 1960, Council built a road, Coreen Avenue, which split the reserve into two (2) parts. The northern part of the site is the subject of this Planning Proposal.		
	Council supports this planning proposal because it promotes the orderly and economic use and development of surplus land.		
	The Department requested additional information from Council on 22 June 2016. The requested additional information was received on 8 July 2016.		
	The site is a small portion of the public reserve. The site does it have any interests on the land. The proposal does not change the current R2 Low Residential Density zoning of the land or any development controls applying to it.		
equacy Assessme	nt.		
	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The primary objective of this planning proposal is to reclassify land at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst from community to operational land.		
	public open space purposes and surplus to community needs. The site currently forms part of the backyard of this neighbour. The site is small (45.4 square metres) and is dissected by		
Explanation of pro	public open space purposes and surplus to community needs. The site currently forms part of the backyard of this neighbour. The site is small (45.4 square metres) and is dissected by a road from the remainder of the reserve.		
	public open space purposes and surplus to community needs. The site currently forms part of the backyard of this neighbour. The site is small (45.4 square metres) and is dissected by a road from the remainder of the reserve. The objectives of the planning proposal are considered to be clear and adequate.		
	public open space purposes and surplus to community needs. The site currently forms part of the backyard of this neighbour. The site is small (45.4 square metres) and is dissected by a road from the remainder of the reserve. The objectives of the planning proposal are considered to be clear and adequate. ovisions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of p	public open space purposes and surplus to community needs. The site currently forms part of the backyard of this neighbour. The site is small (45.4 square metres) and is dissected by a road from the remainder of the reserve. The objectives of the planning proposal are considered to be clear and adequate. wisions provided - s55(2)(b) rovisions provided? Yes The objectives will be achieved through an amendment to Schedule 1, Part of the		

Reclassification of land at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst from 'community' to 'operational'

Reclassification (Part Lots)" map which identifies the part of Lot 18 DP31882 being reclassified as operational land and the remaining part which retains its community classification; and

•If subdivision of the land has been approved, amending Part 1 of Schedule 4 – Classification and Reclassification of Public Land of HLEP2012 to refer to the new Lot and DP for the subject parcel of land being reclassified as operational land.

The explanation of provisions clearly outlines the purpose, intent and application of the proposal.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 3.1 Residential Zones
 - 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 - 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
 - 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
 - 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	PN09-003 'Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan': This Practice Note provides guidance on the classification and reclassification process, and includes general requirements for exhibition and public hearings. The planning proposal generally accords with the Practice Note, and Council is obliged to follow the guidance and requirements relating to public exhibition and public hearings as set out in PN 09-003.
	The following strategic planning documents have been considered in respect of this planning proposal: •State Environmental Planning Policies; •Section 117 Directions;
	•Section 117 Directions; •Hurstville Open Space, Recreation and Community Facilities Strategy; and •Hurstville Community Strategic Plan 2021.
	State Environmental Planning Policies No relevant SEPP's are applicable to the planning proposal.
	Section 117 Directions The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant S117 Directions.
	Hurstville Open Space, Recreation and Community Facilities Strategy In 2010 Hurstville Council adopted the Hurstville Open Space, Recreation and Community Facilities Strategy (Open Space Strategy) which identifies the overall strategy for the use of Council's open space assets or divestment of underutilised open space. The proposal is consistent with this Strategy. The site was not specifically identified within the Strategy.
	Hurstville Community Strategic Plan 2021 In 2011, Hurstville Council adopted the Hurstville Community Strategic Plan 2021 (CSP) which structures Council's aspirations and strategies over a 10 year period. The CSP promotes the cycling of assets in order to improve existing assets or obtain new assets. The planning proposal is consistent with the CSP.
Have inconsistencies with i	tems a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Y	/es	
Comment :	The planning proposal contains indicative mapping reflecting the intent of the proposal. The mapping is considered adequate for public exhibition purposes.	
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consult	ation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Council proposes a twenty eight (28) day public exhibition period and, as required by the Local Government Act 1993, a public hearing. The Department's Practice Note PN 09-003 provides guidance on the requirements for public exhibition and public hearings.	
	A 28 day public exhibition period is supported.	
Additional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any additiona	I Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of	f the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	There are no additional Secretary's Requirements.	
Proposal Assessment		
Principal LEP:		
Due Date :		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The HLEP2012 took effect on the 7 December 2012	
Assessment Criteria	a	
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is the only means of achieving the aims of the proposal.	
μισμοδαι.	However, Under s45 of the Local Government Act 1993, Council's cannot sell, exchange of dispose of community land. Reclassification of the subject land to 'operational' land is required to facilitate its sale.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with Hurstville Open Space, Recreation and Community Facilities Strategy and Hurstville Community Strategic Plan 2021.	
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental The planning proposal is unlikely to adversely affect any critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.	
	There are no known critical habits or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats which will be affected by the proposal. Other environmental effects (bushfires, land slips and flooding) are unlikely in Hurstville LGA and the proposal will not impact or enhance environmental risks.	
	Social and Economic No adverse social or economic effects are likely to arise as a consequence of the planning proposal. Given that the site is unusable and does not serve any public benefit due to the	

	impracticable size and to prevail.	d orientation of the land, no adverse so	cial or economic impacts are
	Proceeds from sale w	rom community to operational land will ill be directed to Council's Property Re e with Council's Open Space Strategy.	-
		Il is considered to be of positive enviro verse effects as a consequence of the p	
ssessment Proces	55		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
ïmeframe to make EP :	9 months	Delegation :	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)			
s Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	Νο	
2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	No	
no, provide reasons :	:		
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No		
Yes, reasons :			
dentify any additional	studies, if required. :		
Other, provide reaso	ns :		
dentify any internal co	nsultations, if required :		
lo internal consultati	on required		
s the provision and fur	nding of state infrastructur	e relevant to this plan? No	
Yes, reasons :			
uments			
ocument File Name		DocumentType Na	me Is Public
over Letter.pdf		Proposal Covering	g Letter Yes
Planning Proposal 1 o		Proposal	Yes
Planning Proposal 2 of 3.pdf Planning Proposal 3 of 3.pdf		Proposal Proposal	Yes Yes
Additional Information		Proposal	Yes

S.117 directions: **3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport**

Reclassification of land	at 34 Coreen Avenue, Peakhurst from 'community' to 'operational'
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:
	 Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be updated to: (a) Include a plain English explanation of the intended effect of the proposed provision; (b) Include a clear copy of the current Land Zoning Maps, Site Identification Maps and Land Reservation Acquisition Maps; A public hearing is required to be held on the reclassification on the subject land; The planning proposal is to be publically exhibited for 28 days; The planning proposal be completed within 9 months;
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported for the following reasons: •it is consistent with Council's strategic plan for the divestment of Council's underutilised open space; •it assists Council to better manage Council-owned land; and •it provides economic return from the sale of the land so it can be used to support existing community assets.
	NAD
Signature:	M.log
Printed Name:	MARTIN COOPER Date: 12/08/2016

à

e.